## **Functional Analysis**

Bartosz Kwaśniewski



Faculty of Mathematics, University of Białystok

Lecture 12

## Banach-Steinhaus Theorem





## Thm. (Baire Theorem)

A countable union of nowhere dense sets in a complete metric space has empty interior

 $\left(\begin{array}{c}X \text{ - complete metric space}\\A_n \subseteq X \text{ closed and } \operatorname{Int}(A_n) = \emptyset\end{array}\right) \implies \operatorname{Int}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \emptyset.$ 

**Ex.** Let  $X = \mathbb{Q} = \{q_1, q_2, ...\}$  with metric d(x, y) = |x - y| and let  $A_n = \{q_n\}$ . Then  $A_n$  closed,  $Int(A_n) = \emptyset$ , but  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \mathbb{Q}$ . Hence  $Int(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = Int(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$ . Why? (because  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not complete!)

**Rem.** By the duality between open and closed sets, Baire's theorem can be equivalently formulated as follows:

A countable intersection of open dense sets in a complete metric space is a dense set

$$\left( egin{array}{c} X \ ext{- complete metric space} \\ U_n \subseteq X \ ext{open and } \overline{U_n} = X \end{array} 
ight) \implies igcap_{n=1}^\infty U_n = X.$$

## Banach-Steinhaus Theorem

Let X be a Banach space and Y a normed space unormowana. For any familly  $\{T_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq B(X, Y)$  of bounded operators

$$\forall_{x\in X} \sup_{i\in I} \|T_i x\| < \infty \iff \sup_{i\in I} \|T_i\| < \infty.$$

That is,  $\forall_{x \in X}$  the family  $\{T_i x\}_{i \in I}$  is bounded in Y (pointwise)  $\iff$  the family  $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$  is bounded in B(X, Y) (uniformly).

**Proof:** ' $\Longrightarrow$ ' The sets  $A_n := \{x \in X : \sup_{i \in I} ||T_ix|| \leq n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are closed, because  $T_i$  are bounded. By assumption  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . By **Baire thm**  $K(x_0, \varepsilon) \subseteq A_{n_0}$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For  $x \in X$ , ||x|| = 1, and  $i \in I$  we have

$$\|T_{i}x\| = \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|T_{i}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}x\right)\| = \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|T_{i}\left((x_{0} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}x) - x_{0}\right)\|$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|T_{i}\left(x_{0} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}x\right)\| + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|T_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\| \left\{\begin{array}{c} x_{0} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}x \in K(x_{0},\varepsilon) \subseteq A_{n_{0}} \\ x_{0} \in K(x_{0},\varepsilon) \subseteq A_{n_{0}} \end{array}\right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon}n_{0} + \frac{2}{\varepsilon}n_{0} = \frac{4}{\varepsilon}n_{0}. \quad \text{Hence } \sup_{i \in I} \|T_{i}\| \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon}n_{0} < \infty.$$

easv

**Cor.** Pointwise limit of a sequence of bounded operators on a Banach space is a bounded operator. That is,

 $\begin{pmatrix} \{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B(X,Y) \\ X \text{ is a Banach space} \\ \forall_{x \in X} \{T_n x\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ convergent} \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} T \in B(X,Y), \text{ where } \\ \forall_{x \in X} Tx := \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n x \end{pmatrix}$ 

**Proof:** If  $\{T_n x\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges for every  $x \in X$ , then by putting  $Tx := \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n x$  we obtain a linear operator, because the limit is a linear operation. Moreover, the convergence of the sequence  $\{T_n x\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  implies its boundedness, for every  $x \in X$ . Therefore, by the Banach–Steinhaus Theorem we have  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||T_n|| < \infty$ .

Moreover

 $\|Tx\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_n x\| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T_n x\| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T_n\| \cdot \|x\|.$ Hence T is bounded and  $\|T\| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T_n\| < \infty.$  **Def.** The weak topology on a normed space X is the weakest topology for which all functionals in  $X^*$  are continuous. The basis of this topology are sets of the form

$$U_{f_1,\ldots,f_n,\varepsilon}(x) := \{y \in X : |f_i(y) - f_i(x)| < \varepsilon, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\},\$$

where  $x \in X$ ,  $f_1, ..., f_n \in X^*$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Rem.** If the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$  is weakly convergent (i.e. convergent in the weak topology) to  $x_0 \in X$ , then we write  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x_0$ . We have

$$x_n \xrightarrow{w} x_0 \iff \forall_{f \in X^*} f(x_n) \longrightarrow f(x_0).$$

Hahn–Banach theorem implies that the limit of a weakly convergent sequence is uniquely determined - the weak topology satisfies the Hausdorff condition.

**Rem.** The topology on X given by the norm is stronger than the weak topology (hence the name of the latter):  $x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x_0 \implies x_n \xrightarrow{w} x_0$ .

**Ex.** If X = H is a Hilbert space, then by the Riesz-Fréchet every functional  $f \in H^*$  is of the form  $f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$ , for certain  $y \in H$ . Hence for every sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq H$  we have

$$x_n \xrightarrow{w} x_0 \iff \forall_{y \in H} \langle x_n, y \rangle \longrightarrow \langle x_0, y \rangle.$$

For instance, consider an orthonornomal sequence  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq H$ . Then

$$||e_n - e_m||^2 = ||e_n||^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}\langle e_n, e_m \rangle + ||e_m||^2 = 2, \qquad n \neq m.$$

Hence  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not convergent in norm. But it is weakly convergent:

$$e_n \xrightarrow{w} 0.$$

Indeed, for any  $y \in H$ , Bessel inequality gives  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle e_i, y \rangle|^2 \leq ||y||^2$ . Since the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle e_i, y \rangle|^2$  converges, we get  $\langle e_i, y \rangle \to 0 = \langle 0, y \rangle$ . Hence  $e_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ .

The norm is not weakly convergent, as  $\|0\| = 0 < 1 = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|e_n\|.$ 

**Thm1.** Weak topology=norm topology  $\iff \dim(X) < \infty$ .

**Thm2.** X is reflexive  $\iff \{x \in X : ||x|| \leq 1\}$  weakly compact.

Prop. Every weakly convergent sequence is bounded, that is

$$x_n \xrightarrow{w} x_0 \implies \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
 bounded in norm.

Moreover,  $||x_0|| \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} ||x_n||$  (the norm is weakly lower semicontinuous).

**Proof:** We may treat  $x \in X \subseteq X^{**}$  as the functional i(x) on  $X^*$ , where i(x)(f) = f(x). Then ||i(x)|| = ||x|| and

$$x_n \xrightarrow{w} x_0 \iff \forall_{f \in X^*} i(x_n)(f) \longrightarrow i(x_0)(f).$$

That is, the weak convergence of the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$  is equivalent to the pointwise convergence of the sequence of linear functionals  $\{i(x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Thus if  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x_0$ , then by **Banach-Steinhaus** thm (see **Cor**), the sequence  $\{i(x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X^{**}$  is bounded. By **Hahn-Banach thm** there is  $f \in X^*$  such that ||f|| = 1 and  $f(x_0) = ||x_0||$ . Hence, by the weak convergence,

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 \| &= f(x_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|f\| \|x_n\| = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$